



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,313	02/25/2004	Ravipal S. Soin	MSFT-3501/300585.03	3447
41505	7590	06/04/2009	EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)			RECEK, JASON D	
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2929 ARCH STREET			2442	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891				

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/786,313	SOIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JASON RECEK	2442	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JASON RECEK. (3) Joe Oriti.
 (2) Andrew Caldwell SPE. (4) Paul Dara.

Date of Interview: 02 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 33-61.

Identification of prior art discussed: Masumoto.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the attached proposed amendments and remarks. Examiner indicated the proposed amendments would likely overcome the Masumoto reference, however no agreement was reached with respect to patentability. Applicant's representative stated they would be filing a response that contained the proposed amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jason Recek/ Examiner, Art Unit 2442	/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442
--	---